How to un-lame your (relational) database

Katarzyna Kittel kasia.kittel@gmail.com

Why performance is important?

- Important factor of usability response time
- Performance of database have big impact of performance of an application.
- When amount of data increase the performance may decrease dramatically - application may become inaccessible.

How to assure performance?

- Test your application on database level since the early stage of development
- Test queries
- Simulate data grow
- Simulate users



Sample application

Favorite serie fanpage. Pictures and characters...



Sample application

- Every picture has a filename and a date when it was taken.
- Every picture may be associated a type and a place where it was taken.
- Additionally every character can be tagged on several pictures, and every picture can be tagged with several characters.

Sample database



JOIN (or simple WHERE)

Choose pictures with theirs types (only if a picture has a type).

using WHERE:

select filename, name from pictures, types where
pictures.type id=types.id;

or using JOIN:

select filename, name from pictures join types on
(pictures.type id = types.id);

LEFT JOIN

Imagine a situation when you need all pictures. Doesn't matter if a picture has a type. In such case you can use LEFT JOIN.

(That means, the query returns all rows from the left table, even if there are no matches in the right table).

select filename, name from pictures left join types on
(pictures.type_id = types.id);

RIGHT JOIN

We can also do something opposite: chose all types and join the pictures that belongs to them:

select filename, name from pictures right join types on
(pictures.type_id = types.id);

In most cases we can use LEFT or RIGHT JOIN to get the same results (anyway it is recommended using LEFT JOIN).

select filename, name from types left join pictures on
(pictures.type_id = types.id);

NATURAL JOIN

We can use it to join two (or more) tables using columns with the same names. NATURAL JOIN works without any join condition.

(WARNING: if you have a habit to name primary key column "id", MySQL may use this column to create the conjunction.)

select filename, name from pictures natural join
places;

INNER and CROSS JOIN

In MySQL they are equivalent one to each other and produce exactly the same result as JOIN.

SELECT syntax

SELECT FROM table_references [WHERE where_condition] [GROUP BY {col_name | expr | position} [ASC | DESC], ... [WITH ROLLUP]] [HAVING where_condition] [ORDER BY {col_name | expr | position} [ASC | DESC], ...] [LIMIT {[offset,] row_count | row_count OFFSET offset}]

SELECT syntax

- WHERE indicates the condition or conditions that rows must satisfy to be selected.
- **GROUP BY** must be used with any aggregating functions to group the resultset by one or more columns.
- HAVING works only with GROUP BY and should be used only with aggregation functions (while the WHERE clause cannot).
- **ORDER BY** is used to order the result set by a desired column or columns.

Many-to-many relationship

Let's choose all pictures with a list of people tagged on each of them.

select filename, name
from people_pictures
join pictures on (people_pictures.
picture_id = pictures.id) join people on
(people_pictures.person_id=people.id);

Many-to-many relationship

The result set has many rows with the same filenames. Sometimes this can be a disadvantage. There is an easy way to show every picture with comaseparated list of tagged characters. For that we can use **group_concat** function with **GROUP BY** clause:

select filename, group_concat(name)
from people_pictures
join pictures on
(people_pictures.picture_id = pictures.id)
join people on
(people_pictures.person_id=people.id)
group by filename;

Many-to-many relationship

If we need to exclude some results on some conditions we can use **HAVING** clause. Let's choose only the pictures with at least three people tagged on them.

select filename
from people_pictures
join pictures on
(people_pictures.picture_id = pictures.id)
join people on
(people_pictures.person_id=people.id)
group by filename
having count(name)>2;

Many-to-many relationship

We can also find all pictures with Ted.

select filename
from people_pictures
join people on
(people_pictures.person_id = people.id)
join pictures on
(people_pictures.picture_id=pictures.id)
where name = 'Ted';

Many-to-many relationship

At the end let's find the most tagged character.

select people.name
from people_pictures
join people on
(people_pictures.person_id = people.id)
group by person_id
order by count(person_id) desc
limit 1;

True story...

Real life (similar) example

Interface with two menus:

- 1. showing picture types and number of pictures for each type
- 2. showing characters and the number of pictures where they appear

Main content part shows 20 newest pictures.

True story...

Real life similar example

How we can assure good performance of the application?

- analyze, improve, test, ...

Real life similar example

Think which tables will grow faster and which should remain the same size.

Real life similar example

Estimate how the tables may grow: 20 000 users average 5 pictures uploaded by a user during 3 years table *pictures*: 300 000 rows average 3 characters on a pictures table *people_pictures*: 900 000 rows.

Real life similar example

Make further assumption and generate sample data.

Some characters may appear on more pictures than others...

There may be more pictures taken in some particular places ...

(be careful with random generation with uniform distribution)

Real life similar example

Prepare queries. Think which queries will be used more often.

It is possible to use select cache (resultset cache)?

Why should we disconnect cache?

When a table changes the query cache flushes.

If there are tables that changes often we should disconnect cache to simulate real usage.

For the test use environment similar to the production environment. same OS and OS version, same storage engines for tables...

Do benchmarking after every change on database or query.

Get a tool.

Mysqlslap

mysqlslap --user=root --password=root --createschema=himym --concurrency=10 --iteration=3 -query=query3

--concurrency - the number of clients to simulate
--iteration - number of times to run the test
--create-schema - database to be tested
--query - query or file with queries

QUERY 1

```
select SQL_NO_CACHE types.name, count(pictures.id)
from types
left join pictures on pictures.type_id=types.id
group by types.name
union
select SQL_NO_CACHE 'other' as name, count(id)
from pictures
where type_id is NULL;
```

average time: 22.606 s

First improvement: customize data types

Keep the database as small as possible. This will save memory for data and the size of indexes.

eg.

- **TINYINT** instead of **INT** where possible (TINYINT occupy only 1 Byte since INT 4 Bytes)
- VARCHAR with good estimate of number of character instead of CHAR

QUERY 1 (again)

select SQL_NO_CACHE types.name, count(pictures.id)
from types
left join pictures on pictures.type_id=types.id
group by types.name
union
select SQL_NO_CACHE 'other' as name, count(id)
from pictures
where type id is NULL;

Size of type.id and place.id is denomided to TINYINY. Now the average time: 14.122 s

Second improvement: add indexes

Indexes should be created carefully. In most cases, added correctly, may dramatically speed up the queries. Generally speaking we should create indexes on these columns that we use for JOINs and clauses WHERE, ORDER BY and GROUP BY.

Test your queries after adding a new index.

QUERY 1 (again)

```
select SQL_NO_CACHE types.name, count(pictures.id)
from types
left join pictures on pictures.type_id=types.id
group by types.name
union
select SQL_NO_CACHE 'other' as name, count(id)
from pictures
where type_id is NULL;
```

Indexes on people_pictures.person_id, people_pictures. picture_id, pictures.type_id Now the average time: 6.021s

QUERY 2

```
select SQL_NO_CACHE filename, date
from pictures
where type_id = 5
order by date
limit 10 OFFSET 0;
```

We can observe even better improvement for simple select. For this query adding an index on *pictures.date* improves the timing from: 6.408 to 0.039.

EXPLAIN some important information

Join Type

- ALL full table scan will occur very bad indicator
- index, seems much better but works only with some storage engines
- ref all rows with matching values are read

EXPLAIN some important information

Possible indexes

- show what indexes may be used
- if this column is NULL, there are no relevant indexes. In this case, you may be able to improve the performance of your query by examining the WHERE clause to check whether it refers to some column or columns that would be suitable for indexing.

EXPLAIN some important information

<u>Key</u>

The key column indicates the key (index) that MySQL actually decided to use. If MySQL decides to use one of the possible_keys indexes to look up rows, that index is listed as the key value.

BTW: MySQL may use the indexes more efficiently if they are the same type and size.

EXPLAIN some important information

Rows to examine

The rows column indicates the number of rows MySQL believes it must examine to execute the query. MySQL analyze the key distribution to provide best optimization plan. By default they key distribution is assumed to be uniform

Use ANALYZE table to reinitiate this analyze on update table data.

EXPLAIN some important information

<u>Extra info</u>

Useful hints ex. unnecessary filesort or conditions that will never be fulfilled

EXPLAIN is your friend Let's go back to QUERY 1:

select_typ e	table	type	possible_k eys	key	key _len	ref	rows	Extra
PRIMARY	types	ALL					5	Using temporary; Using filesort
PRIMARY	pictures	ref	index_type _id	index_ty pe_id	2	himym3. types.id	6	(null)
UNION	pictures	ref	index_type _id	index_ty pe_id	2	const	41539	Using where
UNION RESULT	<union1, 2></union1, 	ALL						(null)

Third improvement: get rid of UNION and NULL columns if possible

Try to keep your queries simple. NULL may occupy more space than other data types.

Why there is a filesort?

MySQL uses filesor by default for GROUP BY. Whe can turn it off using ORDER BY NULL.

QUERY 1 (version2)

select SQL_NO_CACHE types.name, count(pictures.id)
from types
left join pictures
on pictures.type_id=types.id
group by types.name
order by NULL;

average time: 5.919s

Fourth improvement: trick with procedures and triggers

Most of the execution time is taken to process the *pictures* table, while the one that is more interesting for this query is the *types* table.

To overcome this we can provide an extra column *types._count.* Every time a picture is added, deleted or updated this column will be updated.

Fourth improvement: trick with procedures and triggers

To keep the data coherent we can use procedures and triggers that works inside the database and are not depended on any external interface.

Since triggers are atomic operation we don't need to worry about data integrity.

QUERY 1 (version 3)

select name, _count from types;

average time **<u>0.004s</u>**

Same resultset - different queries.

Let's list all characters and number of pictures where they are tagged.

We can make it in at least two ways:

QUERY 3 (version 1)

- select SQL_NO_CACHE people.name, count(people_pictures. id) as pictures_count
- from people_pictures
- right join people
- on (people.id=people_pictures.person_id)
- group by people.name
- order by pictures count desc;

QUERY 3 (version 2)

- select SQL_NO_CACHE people.name, count(people_pictures. id) as pictures_count
- from people_pictures
- left join people
- on (people_pictures.person_id = people.id)
- group by people.name
- order by pictures count desc;

Surprise!

Query 3 version 1: **10.559** Query 3 version 2: **19.812**

10.559 vs. 19.812

EXPLAIN Query 3 (version 1)

select type	table	type	possibl keys	key	key_len	ref	rows	Extra
SIMPLE	people	ALL					11	Using temporary; Using filesort
SIMPLE	people_ pictures	ref	index_per son_id	index_pe rson_id	1	himym4. people.id	16200	(null)

EXPLAIN Query 3 (version 2)

select type	table	type	possible keys	key	ref	rows	Extra
SIMPLE	people_ pictures	ALL				900010	Using temporary; Using filesort
SIMPLE	people	eq_ref	PRIMARY	PRIMAR Y	himym4. people_pictures. person_id	1	(null)

And the winner is....;)

select SQL_NO_CACHE people.name, count(people_pictures. id) as pictures count

from people

straight_join people pictures on (people.

id=people_pictures.person_id)

group by people.name

order by pictures_count desc;

Why this happened?

- MySQL considers many factor to calculates optimization plan
- different optimization plan for left and right join
- number of rows for join is just an educated guess (use ANALYZE TABLE table - to analyze key distribution for table with data - this may improve optimization plan)
- use STRAIGHT_JOIN to force join order

Similar example - QUERY 4 version 1

```
select SQL NO CACHE filename, date
```

```
from pictures.
```

```
join people pictures
```

```
on (people_pictures.picture_id = pictures.id)
```

```
where person id = 7
```

```
order by date
```

```
limit 10 OFFSET 0;
```

```
Similar example - QUERY 4 version 2
```

```
select SQL_NO_CACHE filename, date
from pictures
straight_join people_pictures
on (people_pictures.picture_id = pictures.id)
where person_id = 7
order by date
limit 10 OFFSET 0;
```

Similar example - QUERY 4 version 1 vs version 2

average execution time for 10 concurrent clients 2.660s vs. 0.226s

QUERY 5

select SQL NO CACHE filename, group concat(name)

from people_pictures

left join pictures

on (people_pictures.picture_id=pictures.id)

join people

on (people pictures.person id=people.id)

group by filename

order by date

limit 1,20;

time for single query: 23.186s

QUERY 5

select SQL_NO_CACHE filename, group_concat(name)
from pictures
straight_join people_pictures
on (people pictures.picture id=pictures.id)

join people

on (people_pictures.person_id=people.id)

group by filename

order by date

limit 20;

time for single query: 8.030

QUERY 5

In fact we just need to get 20 newest pictures...

We could use where clause with subquery that will choose first 20 newest pictures... but...

MySQL doesn't support LIMIT in subqueries..

QUERY 5

(In Postgres such query would look like this:)

select filename, array_agg(name)
from people_pictures
join people
on (people_pictures.person_id = people.id)
join pictures
on (people_pictures.picture_id = pictures.id)
where picture_id in
(select id from pictures order by date limit 20)
group by filename;

Query 5

or we can divide it to two queries and join it in our program code:

Query 5

```
$query = 'select id from pictures order by date desc limit 1, 20;';
$res=$db->query($query);
```

```
$pictures_array=array();
```

```
foreach($res as $row){
    foreach($row as $value){
        $pictures_array[]=$value;
    }
}
```

```
$pictures = implode(', ', $pictures_array);
```

\$query = "select picture_id, group_concat(name) from people_pictures
join people on (people_pictures.people_id=people.id) where picture_id
in (\$pictures) group by picture_id";
\$res=\$db->query(\$query);

Conclusions

As we can see there are many factors that influence the performance of the database but also many solutions for improving the execution time.

- analyse design of your DB
- check if your indexes works
- run Explain for slow performing queries
- don't hesitate to use stored procedures
- go beyond the DB if necessary

• QUESTIONS?

